

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>		
	10/003,339		TREIBACH-HECK ET AL.		
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>		
	Dillon J. Murphy		2625		

**All Participants:**

(1) Dillon J. Murphy.

(2) Jeffrey Pearce (Reg # 34,729).

**Date of Interview:** 2/21/07, 3/1/07

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 1:30 pm EST

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*Final Rejection mailed January 25, 2007*

Claims discussed:  
*Claim 10*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

**Part II.**


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*Included in Notice of Allowability*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**AUNG S. MOE**  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)